



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,886	10/29/2003	Andrew B. Lederman	050508-1110	7813	
24504 7:	590 09/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			BACHMAN, LINI	BACHMAN, LINDSEY MICHELE	
100 GALLERI STE 1750	A PARKWAY, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A 30339-5948	339-5948			
•			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/695,886	LEDERMAN, ANDREW B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lindsey Bachman	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oct 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. see except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-14,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	,		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-12-03.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	e	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/695,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14 and 20-21, drawn to a suture needle, classified in class 606, subclass 228.
 - II. Claim 15-19, drawn to a method of using a suture needle, classified in class 606, subclass 228.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process can be performed with any suture needle, including a needle that does not necessarily contain a visual indicator.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,886

Art Unit: 3734

4. During a telephone conversation with Cynthia Lee on 7 August 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Invention I, claims 1-14 and 20-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Page 3

Claim Objections

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following: it is the examiner's position that applicant has invoked 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph in claim 11 and 12 by reciting "means for distinguishing." Therefore the examiner requires the applicant to clarify the record by amending the specification to "explicitly state, with reference to the terms and phrases of the claim element, what structure, materials, or acts perform the function recited in the claim element." MPEP 2181(IV). Please note that the MPEP clearly states that "[e]ven if the disclosure implicitly sets forth the structure, materials, or acts corresponding to the means- (or step-) plus-function claim element in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, the USPTO may still require the applicant to amend the specification pursuant to 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP 608.01(o)...." (MPEP 2181(IV)). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,886 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the curve" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 10. Claims 1-8, 11-14, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brunken (EP 0 615 726 A1).
- 11. Regarding Claims 1 and 10, Brunken'726 discloses a needle with a curved cylindrical shaft (2, Figure 1) being marked at least partially with a visual indicator (page 2, lines 29-34) and a puncture tip (6) at one end of the curve (see Figure 1) of the shaft (2).
- 12. Regarding Claim 2-7, Brunken'726 discloses a shaft having a front surface (near tip 6) that includes a visual indicator of bare or untreated metal, which is a first color, and a rear surface that includes a visual indicator that is matte-finished or colored, which is a second color (page 2, lines 29-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,886

Art Unit: 3734

13. Regarding Claim 8, Brunken'726 discloses that the entire front surface of the needle consists of bare or untreated metal (first color) and rear surface can be continuously matte-finished or colored (second color) (page 2, lines 29-34 and Figure 1).

Page 5

- 14. Regarding Claim 11, 12, and 14, Bunken'726 discloses a surgical needle with a curved cylindrical shaft (Figure 1) containing a puncture tip (6) and a shaft (2) extending from the tip (6) including a means for distinguishing between the front surface of the shaft (2) that is proximal to tip (6) and the rear surface of the shaft (2) that is distal to tip (6) (see Figure 1).
- 15. Regarding Claim 12, Brunken'726 discloses a shaft having a front surface (near tip 6) that includes a visual indicator of bare or untreated metal, which is a first color, and a rear surface that includes a visual indicator that is matte-finished or colored, which is a second color (page 2, lines 29-34).
- 16. Regarding Claim 13, Brunken'726 discloses that the entire front surface of the needle consists of bare or untreated metal (first color) and rear surface can be continuously matte-finished or colored (second color) (page 2, lines 29-34 and Figure 1).
- 17. Regarding Claim 20, Brunken'726 discloses a method of making a suture needle that includes providing a suture needle (page 3, lines 5-9) and marking at least a portion of the needle with a visual indicator (page 3, lines 14-17).
- 18. Regarding Claim 21, Brunken'726 discloses that the front surface is a different color than the rear surface of the needle (page 3, lines 18-19).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,886 Page 6

Art Unit: 3734

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 20. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 21. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brunken'726, as applied to Claim 1, in view of Anis (US Patent 5,352,233).
- 22. Brunken'726 teaches the limitations of Claim 9, except a mechanism for determining the needle's angle of orientation.
- 23. Anis'233 teaches a medical device in which a visual indicator on the device aids in determining the angle of orientation of the instrument (column 3, lines 58-68) in order to aid the surgeon in orienting the device (column 3, lines 30-36). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use a visual indicator to aid in determining the angle of orientation of the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,886

Art Unit: 3734

Conclusion

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lindsey Bachman whose telephone number is 571-272-6208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30 am to 5 pm, and alternating Fridays.

- 25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Imb

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7